Emerging problems often surprise lawmakers and agency officials and result in rapid, reactive governance. The political attention an issue does receive may or may not be sufficient to resolve the emergent problem, and in many cases may be an overreactive auto-response dictated by public opinion and issue salience. This study examines the emergence of congressional attention post-crisis; demonstrates that black swans, wicked problems, and complex domestic and social issues each trigger episodic attention differently; and finally, establishes a multi-dimensional model of emerging crises, laying the bedrock to define new theoretical models of episodic attention in Congress.

There are specific variables— distinctive characteristics of crises as they emerge—that enable and accelerate the emergence of attention following a crisis, and establish the power to compel change in public and political opinion. Examining the relative frequency and number of congressional hearings related to particular crises throughout history reveals that there are specific combinations of forces such as size, intensity, timing, resource draw, fault, and cause that are more likely to result in greater attention to emergent issues than others. Further, this article demonstrates the interrelationship of the characteristics of crises to what Dr. Anthony Downs termed the issue-attention cycle and the emergence of political opportunity—what Dr. John Kingdon terms the policy window. I assigned quantitative values in place of typical qualitative descriptors of crises in order to derive crisis-values which could be tested for correlation to the degree of congressional attention an emerging crisis received. I then applied statistical analysis to examine relevant relationships between the characteristics of crises and the emergence of congressional attention. Consistently, findings of the analysis demonstrated with a high degree of confidence a strong relationship between a crisis’ characteristics and emergence of congressional attention, both in its total amount of attention and rate of emergence of attention. Based on findings, there remains little question that characteristics such as the size of the crisis, the intensity and rate of emergence, the resources the crisis requires, and the cause and culpability all contribute to the emergence of the issue-attention cycle and subsequently the policy window post-crisis.

Punctuated attention caused by the episodic nature of emerging problems tends to result in extreme and rapid reactions of officials and thus causes the subsequent displacement of other issues on the public agenda. By more fully understanding emerging problems, what influences these issues, and what reaction they may garner, agencies such as the Department of Homeland Security can more fully align themselves post-crisis. This article is crafted considering the necessity to guide the reaction of governance post-crisis.

This article is an abridged version of the literature review on relevant political models, analytical methods used, and findings of a more complex study crafted for the Naval Postgraduate School’s Center for Homeland Defense and Security. The full study explores in depth the concepts introduced within this article including the policy window and issue-attention, and provides the foundation for further research on the application and implications of this work.
ISSUE ATTENTION, THE POLICY WINDOW, AND OTHER RELEVANT THEORIES

We went, what, a month, month and a half, where people were pretty sure that Ebola was going to kill us all? Well, nobody asks me about it anymore.

—President Barrack Obama

Congressional attention and legislative action post-crisis inhabit the intersection of several contemporary theories of political science and problem emergence, specifically Downs’ issue-attention cycle, Kingdon’s policy window, and Gerston’s characteristics of emerging crises. Downs’ issue-attention cycle is one of the foundational theories of this study and its findings. Downs theorizes there is a somewhat predictable five-stage cycle of attention that emerges after particularly powerful events. His theory of issue-attention represents how individuals react to salient issues that reach the public stage. However, this study has found that the issue-attention cycle is not confined to public interest alone, but rather is applicable to legislative attention as well. The process by which crisis attention emerges and declines—its issue-attention life-cycle—reveals patterns of congressional attention that are repeatable across crisis types. These patterns are evident in natural disasters, terrorism, civil unrest, and immigration issues and are identifiable at both macro (national) and micro (regional) levels. The emergence of legislative attention is the manifestation of what Kingdon refers to as the policy window. The policy window represents a finite period in which an issue’s salience and relative importance compels action on the part of the polity. This finite period is the famous ‘opportunity in crisis’ referred to by Rahm Emmanuel during the height of the economic crisis. It is important to note that while research conducted in this study clearly elucidates the issue-attention cycle, Kingdon’s policy window remains somewhat harder to demonstrate because of the potential for post-hoc reasoning. The emergence of political attention is not the same as the emergence of opportunity, which is triggered by the availability of specific preconditions. In many cases, although attention may be present and the issues compelling, there may be no political action. As theorized by Baumgartner, Gerston and others, emerging problems command attention by their salience (how novel the occurrence), their intensity (how rapidly they emerge), and the resources the problem demands (what will it “cost” to solve). Rochefort and Cobb contribute to this theory suggesting that culpability and blame also contribute to an issue’s relevance.

In discussing the influence of these variables, and specifically their combined influence on emerging crises, Dr. Gerston explains, “[t]he more the categories grow in tandem, the more likely that they collectively will present a triggering mechanism.” This suggests a sort of synergistic effect which is confirmed by the findings of this study. Kingdon refers to the contributors of the policy window development as streams, the confluence of which activates the window. Kingdon’s streams (the problem, the political state, and available policies) do not all enter the process at the same time, but rather the political state and policies may exist, merely awaiting the right problem and thus its issue-attention cycle to emerge. This work endeavors to better define what problems are more apt to generate significant political interest, thus compelling the emergence of the policy window and political action.

RESEARCH DESIGN

This work answers the following questions. Do specific characteristics of a crisis, such as scope, intensity, timing, resources, cause, and fault enable and accelerate the emergence of attention post-crisis and give an issue the power to compel change in public and political opinion? Can the emergence and intensity of the issue-attention cycle and policy window be attributed to the characteristics posited by Gerston and others?

This study attempts to answer these questions by examining the characteristics identified by Gerston and others and applying them to a variety of crises. For this study,
several additional variables were added to Gerston’s theory to differentiate causal factors surrounding the emerging problem and to account for human involvement and culpability. The added characteristics were selected based on their use in other political science and problem-emergence models, such as Anthony Downs’ issue-attention cycle and work by Rochefort and Cobb. In total, six variables, shown in Table 1, were selected for this study and tested for correlation to emergence of legislative attention. The six characteristics have been assigned numerical values based on their degree of severity and how substantially they affected the emerging crisis. Table 1 shows the qualitative and quantitative assignments given to each characteristic.

**Table 1. Characteristics of Crisis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope (s)</th>
<th>Narrow (city-wide)= 1</th>
<th>Moderate (regional/ statewide)=2</th>
<th>Broad (national)= 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensity (i)</td>
<td>Low (no deaths, injuries, no major destruction, no economic impacts) = 1</td>
<td>Uneven (few deaths, injuries, little major destruction, slow to medium emergence, little economic impacts) = 2</td>
<td>High (significant impacts to life, safety, property, economy) = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (t)</td>
<td>Gradual (&gt; 1 year) = 1</td>
<td>Moderate (4 mos. to 1 year) = 2</td>
<td>Rapid (&lt; 4 mos.) = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources (r)</td>
<td>Few = 1</td>
<td>Medium = 2</td>
<td>Significant = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault (fa)</td>
<td>Accident/unrelated = 1</td>
<td>Oversight = 2</td>
<td>Intentional = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause (c)</td>
<td>Natural = 1</td>
<td>Manmade = 2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within Table 1, the novelty of the event may influence the perceived intensity and salience of the problem, and therefore may influence the value of intensity. Another factor to consider concerning the variable of timing is the event frequency. A higher frequency of similar powerful events may result in greater influence on the overall perception of the issue.

**Table 2. Selected Crises**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Crises</th>
<th>Illegal immigration</th>
<th>Global warming</th>
<th>Social security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 11, 2001 September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurricane Katrina September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing crisis September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic crash September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebola September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied migrant children September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurricane Andrew September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child obesity September 11, 2001</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>NSA breach</td>
<td>Enron</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The crises selected vary in economic impact, number of fatalities, socio-economic implications, region in which they occurred, and other critical factors. Measuring issue-attention and the public impact would be done through media syndicates and the degree of media output on a given issue. To measure political issue-attention for this study, **congressional hearings** on a given issue were used as the unit of measure to quantify the degree of issue-attention. To discover which variables are more prone to elicit congressional attention, a varied sampling of crises were selected, ranging in size, type, severity of impacts, and aftermath. Table 2 is a summary list of the crises in this research.

Table 3 depicts the sources of data on congressional hearings and the timeframe of data gathered from those sources.
Congressional hearings used to populate this study are cataloged using two sources: University of Texas, Austin College of Liberal Arts Public Policy collections, and the Library of Congress for hearings after 2010. Each source provides an aggregated list of all hearings on a particular policy topic. The data are collected in spreadsheets and counted for the number of times a particular set of words matching with the crisis arises, either within the title or the hearing description. An example would be counting the frequency of the occurrence of the term “terrorism,” found in the Hearing before the Special Oversight Panel on Terrorism to Review Department of State 2000 Report on Trends in International Terrorism.

For each crisis, I calculated the total number of hearings, number of subsequent years the issue was heard, the mean number of hearings per year, and rate of emergence of the issue. Each crisis was then assigned a total value using the following model.

Model 1 relates the total value (T) of a crisis to the number of congressional hearings and can be expressed as:

\[ T = m \times A \]

where \( m = f \) (scope, intensity, time, resources, fault, cause), and

\[ A = (V_f - V_i) / \text{time} \]

where \( V_i = n \) of hearings at initial emergence, and \( V_f = n \) of hearings at height.

Taking the product of the first four characteristics and adding the remaining values for fault and cause, whether natural or manmade, will represent the total value of the combined six characteristics from Table 1. The result will be the net value of the crisis, represented by the variable \( m \).

In determining a crisis’ final value \( T \), equals \( m \) multiplied by the number of hearings the issue receives from Congress. \( V_i \) represents the number of congressional hearings occurring at the initial emergence of the crisis. \( V_f \) represents the number of hearings on the crisis at the highest point prior to decline. The time span of emergence (in years) from initial hearings to the hearings’ highest point is represented by \( t \). Once acceleration is determined, it is multiplied by \( m \), resulting in the total value of the crisis. This treatment was done in an effort to determine whether there are any similarities between emerging crises in Congress and, more importantly, to determine if greater values of variables correlate in any way to the number of hearings an issue receives.

I used two correlation tests in this study. The first test, the multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), tests whether one or more independent variables correlate to two or more dependent variables. The second test employed was the univariate analysis, which was used as a verification of findings. As a good scientific practice, all tests were conducted using a confidence level of 95 percent (significance level \( \alpha = 0.05 \)).
OUTCOME OF ANALYSIS

The idea that the future is unpredictable is undermined every day by the ease with which the past is explained.

—Daniel Kahnemam

By developing a numerical value-scale and assigning quantitative values in place of typical qualitative descriptors of crises, I was able to derive crisis-values which were successfully correlated to the degree of congressional attention an emerging crisis received, determined by the number of congressional hearings. I then conducted statistical analysis to examine relevant relationships between the characteristics of crises and the emergence of congressional attention. For the influence of characteristics on crises independently, the crises in Table 2 were evaluated testing the hypothesis where each individual characteristic of Table 1 was treated as an independent variable and total congressional hearings as the dependent variable. A one-way MANOVA revealed a highly significant multivariate main effect for the combined total characteristic value, revealing a value of $P < 0.01$. This result alone is sufficient support for this study, in that specific qualitative characteristics of crises, when quantified and combined, accurately describe the emergence of congressional attention post-crisis as posited by Gerston, Rochefort, and others. Results of the MANOVA indicate that of the six characteristics, only three of the six demonstrated statistical significance, and only one of the three—scope—demonstrated high significance. Cause of the crisis appears to have the least effect on congressional attention, according to MANOVA results.

This study also finds that this dynamic does not occur equally across all types of emerging crises and not every variable influences emerging attention appreciably. When crises occur, the impacted population (either directly or indirectly) tends to be the most powerful influencer of attention. This is demonstrated by the value of scope and may be attributable to psychological effects of powerful, symbolic events such as September 11th, Pearl Harbor, Katrina and others. Powerful events tend to elicit equally powerful reactions, either because of immediate tangible impact or because they are highly influential on perception.

For a crisis, the variables cause and intensity have the least effect on congressional attention when evaluated independently of other characteristics. Whether an event is naturally occurring or human-caused is not as powerful as culpability (intent/fault). This is evident in major crises such as Hurricane Katrina, which are arguably just as powerful in influencing legislative attention, if not more so, than crises such as September 11th and major oil spills. Further, the degree of injuriousness of the emerging crisis, measured as intensity, was deemed in this study as not significantly impactful to congressional attention when analyzed independently. Crises which are costly or which result in a significant number of fatalities, yet which emerge over long periods, are very isolated, or do not require substantial resources to abate, typically do not result in significant attention. This dynamic is manifest in the number of medical malpractice deaths compared to the threat of Ebola within the U.S. Although the latter garners enormous amounts of attention in Congress, media, and from the public, it is the former that claims nearly 440,000 lives annually. Similarly, single characteristics such as intensity, when coupled with others, for instance a crisis’ novelty or the rate of emergence, result in a synergistic effect causing a more significant influence on issue-attention. Examples of this dynamic include global warming and immigration reform. This pattern becomes especially pronounced when examining the issue by its rate of emergence, discussed further below.

Findings suggest the more widespread and intense social issues become, the more they impact congressional hearings. This is a finding which is intuitively plausible when considering the emergence of the civil rights movement of the 1950s; an interesting proof will be the reaction of Congress to nationwide civil disturbances and police shootings/tactics emerging as this is written. If the issue of civil rights and police tactics continues to spread and continues to increase in intensity, there should be a sharp increase in attention by Congress
followed by a gradual decline and period of stasis. The passage of landmark legislation such as the removal of the Confederate flag from the South Carolina State House grounds in 2015 represents a textbook example of the emergence and capitalization of the policy window at the regional level.

Figure 1 illustrates the variance in emergence of the issue-attention cycle as related to the selected 24 crises in this study using exceedance probability and fitted power-law distribution where the $y$-axis represents the percentage of probability an event will not exceed and $x$-axis represents the rate of acceleration of the issue-attention cycle.

![Figure 1. Rate of Acceleration of Congressional Hearings](image-url)
When observing the acceleration rate of congressional attention in evolving crises using exceedance probability, the sample naturally organizes itself into three distinct clusters of crises. The majority of the sampled crises fall within cluster (a), comprising up to the 50th percentile. This cluster is comprised of socially complex issues and domestic crises (tornados, obesity, housing, etc.), emerging at a rate of between one and eight hearings annually. Cluster (b) is comprised of more complex crises including both Taleb’s black swans and Rittel’s wicked problems. The important distinction in cluster (b) is that the issues tended to be domestic in nature and lacking significant culpability (unaccompanied children, Ebola, Katrina, Global Warming). Crises falling within this cluster comprise the 50th to 90th probability percentile and tend to emerge at a rate of between 13 and 22 hearings. Finally, issues comprising cluster (c) are those with significant culpability. These are the most rapidly emerging issues and represent the highest 5% of probability emerging at a rate of greater than 25 hearings. Crises comprising this cluster include EXXON VALDEZ oil spill, Deepwater Horizon oil spill, and Da’esh (ISIS). These issues tend to be larger, have national implications, and have clear culpability.

Deconstructing the emergence and decline of attention revealed a clearer illustration of the issue-attention cycle whereby most crises last approximately two to three years; in nearly all cases, including dissimilar crisis types, the decline in attention from its highest point will be ≈67% from the previous year. Of the total sample number of randomly selected crises, only four events exceeded a cycle of issue-attention greater than five years. This is illustrated in Figure 2.

More complex issues having a broad impact across society result in higher congressional attention, between 10 and 15 hearings annually, but indicate an extremely low emergence rate annually (=0.4 – 2 respectively) because they emerge over long periods. Examples include climate change (24 years) and immigration (64 years). The data revealed in this study reaffirm an observation by Rochefort and Cobb, who suggest that “global warming is an illustration of an issue whose severity is debated with disputants vehemently disagreeing over its extent, timing, and impact.” Interestingly, as crises transform over time, so does attention. The fore mentioned—immigration and climate change—both adapted accordingly in congressional attention as the dynamics of the issues changed, caused by the influx of unaccompanied children across U.S. southern borders and the emerging scientific evidence supporting global warming. This change contributes to the synergistic effect introduced earlier. Unaccompanied children changed from merely an issue of immigration to a wicked problem, due to an unprecedented increase in the number of children across the border, the amount of resources and money required to address the crisis, and the crisis’ emergence rate. The change increased the number of hearings on the immigration issue by over 400 percent, from 10 hearings annually to nearly 60. The international accords chartered in Paris in 2015 represent monumental advancements toward combating global warming and climate change and underscore the global implications of an intractable issue with significant momentum. The changes seen in global climate change and immigration reflect the shifting of political interest over time as the governing dynamics of an issue are debated in government.

The strong correlation between the aforementioned governing dynamics (characteristics) of a crisis and policy responses of government, as illustrated repeatedly, suggest congressional attention is episodic and reactive. This is consistent with Gould’s theory of punctuated equilibrium.

Figure 2 illustrates the general construct of crises and issue-attention based on the findings of this research.
The illustration in Figure 2 is an approximation; it is based on the averages of the various types of crises presented in this study. Although the decline and secondary emergence of attention does not occur in all cases, it occurs frequently enough and in the same manner and proportions that it is worth noting here, and is an interesting repeatable phenomenon worth further study.

As illustrated in Figure 2, black swans result in extremely rapid emergence of political attention, though the decline is typically equally as steep. The mean rate of emergence of congressional attention for black swans in this study was found to be nearly twice the rate of wicked problems. The black swan is an anomalous occurrence representing catastrophes of the greatest scale whose emergence as shown in Figure 1 represent the 90 to 99th percentile probability of all cases within this study. During the decline of interest phase of Downs’ issue-attention cycle, both in the case of wicked problems and black swans, the initial retreat almost predictably approaches 67%, followed by slight resurgence in interest then complete withdrawal.

**Implications of Issue-Attention on Homeland Security**

Uncertainty is an uncomfortable position, but certainty is an absurd one.

—Voltaire

This study has established that there is a relatively predictable cycle to political attention which is generated in many cases by the characteristics of and reactions to crises. The creation of the Department of Homeland Security is recent evidence of this reaction. Similar patterns of reactive governance can be found in the New Deal of the 1930s, growth in defense after World War I and II, and President Johnson’s War on Poverty—likely the most costly reactive endeavor of all. However, history has also demonstrated that most
issues tend to be displaced quickly by others of ostensibly greater importance. In recent years, public interest has sharply faded regarding homeland security, particularly terrorism, only to re-emerge over concern for Da’esh. The nation’s intense focus on post-9/11 protection and fortification has been replaced by images of natural disasters, creating a change in focus to emergency management, preparation, and resilience. The decline and re-emergence of attention may be the recognizable manifestation of Downs’ issue-attention cycle, applied to homeland security and the contemporary political process. Once salient issues reach their dramatic climax, they become susceptible to being displaced from public attention (and the political agenda) by other newer problems as they emerge.

This decline of issue-attention presents the larger challenge. A shifting political landscape means potentially changing priorities. These priorities are shifting to a new frontier of the war on terrorism as this is written. The push-pull dynamic in contemporary homeland security issue-attention consists of two dangerously asymmetric threats—Daesh and cyber-terrorism. The beginnings of a shift are occurring in cyber, a newly emerging field within homeland security marked by the creation of the Cyber Threat Intelligence Integration Center. The Federal Information Security Management Agency, established in 2002, increased its already growing budget by 100 percent from 2009 to 2010 following Executive Order 13636 and Presidential Policy Directive 21. Over the next three years, the agency’s budget continued to increase by $1.3 billion annually. With an event meeting the requirements of the characteristics of a crisis, cyber will arguably represent the next punctuated growth in homeland security. However, like other issues, cyber will predictably wane in several years as new priorities emerge to displace it. Already, cyber as an important issue to national security may be giving way to the threat posed to the West by Da’esh and home-grown extremism.

In defining the patterns of episodic attention and political opportunity in crisis, there is an underlying ethical risk present. Significant crises often necessitate the re-examination, re-assessment, and, in some cases, re-structuring of the status quo political arrangements. An acute understanding of the transfer of attention and punctuated shifts of policy can better enable the astute, savvy politician to influence the attention cycle for gain, or it may likely present opportunity to not invest where it may not appear to be lucrative to do so for the long term (i.e. where there is no potential for growth or long-term political opportunity). Examples might include childhood obesity, civil rights, and social security reform. Issues of this kind, because of their lack of salience and power, are not likely to garner interest unless politically advantageous. It is generally accepted that one cannot go against public opinion and expect to stay in democratic politics for long. It has been attributed to President Woodrow Wilson, a career statesman, who said the public sentiment is like the wind used by a sailing ship. The sailor can use it to power a voyage but cannot sail against it. Therefore, knowledge of the attention cycle might enable politicians to align themselves with the emerging crisis likely to be most salient and ignore those that are not.

The more concise defining of reactive congressional attention presented here enables anticipatory governance and thus limits reactive governance post-crisis. This theoretical advancement establishes a control-measure in the current process of political agenda development as it pertains to the generation and acceptance of policy alternatives. Currently, political opportunism caused by reactive attention of Congress typically results in fertile opportunity for advocates to push pet solutions or attention to special problems. It also provides opportunity for key political figures to initiate punctuated growth of government. This feature of reactive governance is precisely what the theory of anticipatory governance is designed to prevent. Advocates of particular policy solutions know that when the policy window is open, it is open only for a short time. They also know that the policy window determines the flow of money, and money flows produce the potential for shifts or shoring up of power. There is a conflict dynamic among reformers between those within the elite intent on conservative reforms to protect the status
quo, and those intent on more liberal reform of institutional arrangements. Changes in policy goals, institutions, and polity settings influence revenue flow, thus influencing power distribution.32

The future spectrum and landscape of homeland security and protection against terrorism, the cornerstone of the Department of Homeland Security, represents the terrae incognitae—the land of the unknown. Investing in the protection against unknown and unknowable threats provides a real challenge to homeland security professionals in articulating policy change from equilibrium. Homeland security professionals should become astute at understanding threats, measuring their often-opaque environs, and most importantly articulating the value of investment in risk mitigation through resilience rather than protection against the unthinkable.

To this end, this study establishes a pattern in emerging attention post-crisis in response to which legislators and agency officials can anticipate the emergence of the policy window. Crises with higher quantitative values elicit markedly higher degrees of congressional attention. For example, crises with high values in three of four characteristics will result in nearly 50 percent more hearings annually than crises with characteristics totaling a lesser value.33 Furthermore, the research presented has made clearer the subject of issue-attention. Through analysis, this study has validated findings that groupings of crises such as black swans, wicked problems, and socially complex and domestic crises result in varying degrees of congressional attention. Quantitative data on social and domestic/ regional crises indicate, for instance, that the attention to these categories of crises lasts twice as long as wicked problems and black swans, yet accounts for approximately 10 percent of the number of hearings annually, with the median number of hearings each year between one and 15.

This study has established a foundation to better understand the scope and duration of attention post-crisis. This understanding enables efforts to develop a model to support anticipatory governance of catastrophic events. Based on the data analysis in this study, it is clear that events will continue to occur with regularity and that events are somewhat predictable in their scope and scale, as is legislative reaction to such crises. Using statistical analysis and probability models may help to clarify emergent crises or at least better define the problem space and second order effects of a catastrophe. In addition, they may help mitigate reactive governance that tends to occur as a result of emerging crises. This is an area deserving of more scholarly attention, and although this study merely presents a foundation, it has shown the depths to which this subject can be mined.

Other areas of issue-attention that should be considered for further study include:

*The duration of the issue-attention cycle and whether prolonged, heightened awareness creates a proportionate increase in negative sentiment.* Understanding the issue-attention cycle and its consequences will more fully aid emergency managers, response professionals, and presidential staff in preparing both short- and long-term risk management messaging and strategies. Strategic communications of this nature may be communicated post-crisis to frame appropriately narratives of local and state officials, the public, and media.

*Analysts can define the emergence, timing, and duration of the issue-attention cycle to more accurately predict the policy window.* Although contemporary research does examine what contributes to the emergence of issues, quantifying the timing and duration of issue-attention may prove a far more challenging task.34 Additional study should be undertaken to determine the re-emergence of the policy window without a triggering event. This dynamic is seen after most major crises, as noted in Figure 3, and relates to congressional attention as well as social media attention and public opinion polling.

Awareness of new theories regarding legislative attention resulting from problem emergence can help institutional leaders to react more rationally to emerging crises. The competing narratives to this effort will be those which suggest that history cannot foretell anything about future events, nor can the reaction to previous unpredictable events.
portend anything about future behavior. This is erroneous, myopic thinking. Evidence reinforces, with a high degree of statistical significance, that certain crises correlate strongly to specific reactions in the legislature. As posited by Dr. Dana Meadows, thinking in terms of a systems structure is key to defining otherwise chaotic systems. She explains, “[s]ystem structure is the source of system behavior. System behavior reveals itself as a series of events over time.” Her thesis suggests that chaotic problems become less surprising when accumulated instances can be distilled into dynamic patterns of behavior. This is precisely what has been established in this study. Event-event analysis (e.g., that one event correlates to another) reveals nothing regarding why the system behaves in the manner it does. The core of this study seeks not only to define the roots of episodic attention post-crisis but also to define the “system” behind the event.

As Meadows eloquently suggests, history reveals a great deal about the potential of future events and can serve to greatly reduce the degree of irrationality and uncertainty that accompanies the events in the terra incognita. Employing systems models as theorized by Dr. Meadows, future research can define new theoretical models of episodic attention in Congress, examining how powerful coalitions affect the dynamics of issue-attention and political opportunism.

By having a more acute sense of the reaction of governance to particular emerging crises, one can better prepare messaging and the general construct of political agenda setting. More importantly, the more precise understanding of emerging problems aids in more completely defining the landscape of complex crises, thereby lessening the need for reactive governance. Rather, it allows for a more measured and proactive post-crisis response. What would this look like in practice? Anticipatory governance goes to the theory of nineteenth century scholar of the English Constitution, Walter Bagehot. His theory of double government speaks to a bifurcated nature of governing. In double government, this is the division between Madisonian governance (reactive congressional decision making resulting from emergent attention post-crisis), and Trumanism (departmental decision-making and programmatic development which is measured and which guides legislative decision-making). The latter prevents reactive governing, which ultimately leads to anemic single-faceted regimes like the post-9/11 DHS.

An improved understanding of the probability and the risks posed by a crisis to a community better allows for assessments of return on investment and intentional strategic messaging. By building a comprehensive landscape of a variety of dissimilar crises, one can see the patterns of attention emergence, calculate probability of occurrence and size of events, and develop programs that represent “over the horizon” preparedness and mitigation activities by investing in the necessary resilience needed to combat the ill effects of crisis. When the behavioral aspects of issue-attention post-crisis are known to decision makers, it should be more evident that an initiating event should not be needed to compel change. This changes the requirements of Kingdon’s tenets, and may perhaps even change the notion of a policy window. The merit of this research transcends the organizational or political future of a single entity or specific stakeholder. Ideally, this work will provide a completely different lens through which to view the dynamic of emerging crises and episodic attention, providing an opportunity to see things, understand them, and then react differently.
About the Author

Lieutenant Christopher Kimrey is an 18 year career military officer with the U.S. Coast Guard. A top-level crisis management professional and graduate of Naval Postgraduate School’s Center for Homeland Defense and Security, he is currently studying public policy and administration at The University of Pennsylvania Fels Institute of Government in Philadelphia. He may be reached at cmkimrey@gmail.com.

Disclaimer

The theories and hypotheses posed herein are those of the author and do not reflect those of the U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, or University of Pennsylvania.
Notes


2. Selected crises were valued using the following model: \( T = m \cdot A \), where \( m = f(\text{scope}, \text{intensity}, \text{time}, \text{resources}, \text{fault}, \text{cause}) \), and \( A = \frac{(V_f-V_i)}{\text{time}}; V_f = \text{n of hearings at initial emergence}, V_f = \text{n of hearings at height.} \)


10. Dr. Larry Gerston, email correspondence, October 17, 2014.


13. The equation \( \frac{(V_f-V_i)}{\text{time}} \) represents the acceleration of the emerging crisis.


15. Selected crises were valued using the following model: \( T = m \cdot A \), where \( m = f(\text{scope}, \text{intensity}, \text{time}, \text{resources}, \text{fault}, \text{cause}) \), and \( A = \frac{(V_f-V_i)}{\text{time}}; V_f = \text{n of hearings at initial emergence}, V_f = \text{n of hearings at height.} \)

16. Wilks’s \( \lambda = 0.001, F = 4.04 \) (54, 9.75), \( P < 0.01 \)

17. Wilks’s \( \lambda = 0.085, F = 2.43 \) (4/4), \( P < 0.21 \)


19. Wilks’s \( \lambda = 0.057, F = 3.17 \) (4/4), \( P < 0.145 \).
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